

Ms. Dalbir Kaur W/o Sh. Paramjit Singh, R/o Village Bhullar, Tehsil Batala, Distt. Gurdaspur(Punjab)

...Complainant

V/s

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Dhariwal, Distt. Gurdaspur(Punjab)

... Respondents

Complaint Case No. 462 of 2019

Present : Sh. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate, on behalf of the complainant. Sh. Suman Kumar, Superintendent, on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

The RTI request is dated 13.10.2018. The complaint has been received in the Commission on 16.05.2019.

Sh. Suman Kumar, Superintendent, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, orally states that he has brought the requisite information in the Commission so that the same could be handed over to the complainant, Ms. Dalbir Kaur during the hearing. He also handed over the same to Sh. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate (representative of the complainant) during the hearing in the Commission.

Sh. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate, who appeared on behalf of the complainant, Ms. Dalbir Kaur in today's hearing, through a letter dated 18.09.2019, has acknowledged that he has received the requisite information and satisfied with the information supplied and asked for filing of this case. It is taken on record .

Since the information stands supplied, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

> (Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner



Moti Ram

S/o Sh. Asa Ram, H.No. 2208/68, Street No. 5, Near Gill Colony, Sunder Nagar, Lohara, Distt. Ludhiana(Punjab)

...Complainant

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana(Punjab)

... Respondents

Complaint Case No. 456 of 2019

V/s

Present : None on behalf of the complainant.

None on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

The RTI request is dated 03.04.2019. The complaint has been received in the Commission on 14.05.2019.

Neither the complainant, Sh. Moti Ram nor the respondent is present in today's hearing.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 3849 dated 17.09.2019 signed by Public Information Officer(Helath)-cum- Health Officer, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail has intimated the Commission that the requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant, Sh. Moti Ram. On that reply, the complainant has put his signatures on 17.09.2019 as an acknowledgement of having received the requisite information. It is taken on record.

Since the information stands supplied, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

> (Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner



Jagjit Singh

S/o Sh. Hukam Singh, H.No. 459-A, Sector 61, Chandigarh

...Complainant

V/s.

Public Information Officer, **O/o The** Director, Technical Education and Industrial Training, Punjab, Sector 36-A, Chandigarh.

... Respondents

Complaint Case No. 459 of 2019

Present : Sh. Jagjit Singh, the complainant, in person. Sh. Sukhjinder Singh, Junior Assistant, on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

The RTI request is dated 09.04.2019 . The complaint has been received in the Commission on 16.05.2019.

The complainant, Sh. Jagjit Singh, who appeared in person in today's

hearing, orally states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO so far

Sh. Sukhjinder Singh, Junior Assistant, who appeared on behalf of the

respondent PIO in today's hearing, requests for an adjournment in this case.

The request is granted.

The respondent-PIO concerned is directed to file a point wise reply to each and every query, raised by the complainant through his RTI request before the next date of hearing.

> The case is <u>adjourned to 4th December, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

> > (Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner



Jagjit Singh S/o Sh. Hukam Singh,

H.No. 459-A, Sector 61, Chandigarh

...Complainant

V/s.

Public Information Officer, **O/o** The Director, Technical Education and Industrial Training, Punjab, Sector 36-A, Chandigarh.

... Respondents

Complaint Case No. 460 of 2019

Present : Sh. Jagjit Singh, the complainant, in person. Sh. Sukhjinder Singh, Junior Assistant, on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

The RTI request is dated 08.04.2019 . The complaint has been received in the Commission on 16.05.2019.

The complainant, Sh. Jagjit Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO so far

Sh. Sukhjinder Singh, Junior Assistant, who appeared on behalf of the

respondent PIO in today's hearing, requests for an adjournment in this case.

The request is granted.

The respondent-PIO concerned is directed to file a point wise reply to

each and every query, raised by the complainant through his RTI request before the next date of hearing.

The case is <u>adjourned to 4th December, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

> (Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner



Jagjit Singh S/o Sh. Hukam Singh,

H.No. 459-A, Sector 61, Chandigarh

...Complainant

V/s.

Public Information Officer, **O/o** The Director, Technical Education and Industrial Training, Punjab, Sector 36-A, Chandigarh.

... Respondents

Complaint Case No. 461 of 2019

Present : Sh. Jagjit Singh, the complainant, in person. Sh. Sukhjinder Singh, Junior Assistant, on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

The RTI request is dated 08.04.2019 . The complaint has been received in the Commission on 16.05.2019.

The complainant, Sh. Jagjit Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO so far

Sh. Sukhjinder Singh, Junior Assistant, who appeared on behalf of the

respondent PIO in today's hearing, requests for an adjournment in this case.

The request is granted.

The respondent-PIO concerned is directed to file a point wise reply to

each and every query, raised by the complainant through his RTI request before the next date of hearing.

The case is <u>adjourned to 4th December, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

> (Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner



Baljeet Singh Bal

S/o Late Sh. Satnam Singh Bal, House No. 18, Rajguru Nagar Extension, Backside MBD Mall, Ferozpur Road, Ludhiana(Punjab)

...Complainant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Zone-D, Sarabha Nagar, Ludhiana(Punjab)

... Respondents

Complaint Case No. 467 of 2019

Present : None on behalf of the complainant. Sh. Harjit Singh, Draftsman, on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

The RTI request is dated 26.03.2019. The complaint has been received in the Commission on 17.05.2019.

The complainant, Sh. Baljeet Singh Bal, is not present in today's hearing.

Sh. Harjit Singh, Draftsman, who appeared on behalf of the respondent

PIO in today's hearing, requests for an adjournment in this case.

The request is granted.

The respondent-PIO concerned is directed to file a point wise reply to each and every query, raised by the complainant through his RTI request before the next date of hearing.

> The case is <u>adjourned to 4th December, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

> > (Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner



Manjit Singh

S/o Late Sh. Amar Singh, R/o 4780, Shiv Ji Nagar, Ludhiana (Punjab)

...Complainant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Director, Fastway Transport Private Limited, Ferozpur Road, Ludhiana(Punjab)

... Respondents

Complaint Case No. 478 of 2019

Present : None on behalf of the appellant. None on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

The RTI request is dated 30.04.2018 . The complaint has been received in

the Commission on 20.05.2019

Neither the appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh nor the respondent is present in

today's hearing.

After examining the documents placed in the case-file alongwith RTI request of the complainant, it emerges that the that the 'respondent institute' is not a public authority as it is not owned, controlled or substantially financed by any State Government and it does not fall within the definition of public authority as defined under Section 2 (h) of the RTI Act.

As no cognizance is required to be taken in the instant complaint case, the case is <u>dismissed.</u>

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner



Shakti Bhushan S/o Sh. Kewal Krishan. Street Bhani Sahib, Ward No. 8, Dhanaula, Distt. Barnala -148105 (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer.

(Regd. Post)

O/o The Motor Vehicle Registration Department, Sangrur (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1297 of 2019

Present : Sh. Shakti Bhushan, the appellant in person. None on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 22.07.2019 and another opportunity was

given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address and produce a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission on the next date of hearing. An opportunity was also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned. A show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued to Public Information Officer of office of Motor Vehicle Registration Department, Sangrur.

The appellant, Sh. Shakti Bhushan, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that no information has been supplied to him till date.

Neither the respondent PIO nor any of his representative is present in today's hearing. He has also not sent any intimation regarding his non-availability. The Commission took a serious view of this casual approach of the Respondent/ PIO.

After examining the documents placed on record, it emerges that the requisite information has not been supplied by the respondent PIO to the information seeker even after a period of nine months.

Appeal Case No. 1297 of 2019 -2-

For holding guilty of violation under Section 20 (1) as per provisions of the RTI Act and for making delay more than 250 days in supplying the requisite information to the information-seeker, a penalty of Rs. 5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only) is imposed upon the concerned Public Information Officer of office of Motor Vehicle Registration Department, Sangrur.

The amount of penalty will be deposited in the treasury of Punjab Government under the

head.....

Major Head	0070 -Other Administrative Services
Subj-Major Head	60 - Other Services
Minor Head	800 - Other Receipts
Sub-Head	86 - Fee under the Right to Information Act, 2005
Detailed Head	0070 Other Administrative Services
	60 Other Services- 800- Other Receipts-
	86- Fees under the Right to Information Act, 2005

A copy of the RTI request be sent to him(the concernerd PIO) through registered

<u>post</u>.

And a copy of challan receipt be submitted to the Commission by or on the next date of hearing.

The case is <u>adjourned to 4th December, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 18th September, 2019

(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner



Shakti Bhushan

S/o Sh. Kewal Krishan, Street Bhani Sahib, Ward No. 8, Dhanaula, Distt. Barnala -148105 (Punjab)

V/s

....Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Motor Vehicle Registration Department, Ludhiana (Punjab)
First Appellate Authority,
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1299 of 2019

Present : Sh. Shakti Bhushan , the appellant in person. None on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 22.07.2019 and another opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address and produce a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission on the next date of hearing. An opportunity was also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent <u>concerned. A show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued to Public Information Officer of office of Motor Vehicle Registration Department, Ludhiana.</u>

The appellant, Sh. Shakti Bhushan, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that no information has been supplied to him till date.

Neither the respondent PIO nor any of his representative is present in today's hearing.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 8481 dated 17.09.2019 signed by Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail dated 05.08.2019 has intimated the Commission that the concerned Clerk has to appear in another court of Additional District and Sessions Judge and seeks an adjournment in this case.

The respondent PIO is directed to appear in person before the Commission to represent this case alongwith relevant official record on the next date of hearing.

The case is <u>adjourned to 4th December, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner (Punjab)



S/o Sh. Kewal Krishan, Street Bhani Sahib, Ward No. 8, Dhanaula, Distt. Barnala -148105 (Punjab)

V/s

....Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Motor Vehicle Registration Department, Mansa (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1300 of 2019

Present : Sh. Shakti Bhushan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Ishwarjit Singh, Data Entry Operator, on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 22.07.2019 and and another opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address and produce a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission on the next date of hearing. An opportunity was also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent <u>concerned. A show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued to Public Information Officer of office of Motor Vehicle Registration Department, Ludhiana.</u>

The appellant, Sh. Shakti Bhushan, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that no information has been supplied to him till date.

Sh. Ishwarjit Singh, Data Entry Operator, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, hands over the requisite information to the appellant, Sh. Shakti Bhushan, during the hearing in the Commission today.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

> The case is <u>adjourned to 4th December, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.



S/o Sh. Kewal Krishan, Street Bhani Sahib, Ward No. 8, Dhanaula, Distt. Barnala -148105 (Punjab)

V/s

Public Information Officer,

(Regd. Post) O/o The Motor Vehicle Registration Department, Fazilka(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh

...Respondents

....Appellant

Appeal Case No. 1301 of 2019

Present : Sh. Shakti Bhushan, the appellant in person. None on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 22.07 .2019 and the respondent PIO was directed to facilitate the appellant to inspect the relevant official record on 06.08.2019 at 11:00 A. M. so that the applicant could identify the required information. The respondent-PIO concerned was directed to supply the certified copies of the required information, which would be identified by the appellant after inspection, to him.

The appellant, Sh. Shakti Bhushan, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that no information has been supplied to him till date.

Neither the respondent PIO nor any of his representative is present in today's hearing. He has also not sent any intimation regarding his non-availability. The Commission took a serious view of this casual approach of the respondent PIO.

In view of the above, a show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act is issued to Public Information Officer concerned of office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Fazilka, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

The PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1)

provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte. <u>A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post</u>.

Appeal Case No. 1301 of 2019 -2-

The respondent PIO concerned is directed to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address before the next date of hearing and produce a copy of the postal receipt, through which information has been/would be sent to the appellant, before the Commission on the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information (which would be supplied by respondent PIO) or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

> The case is <u>adjourned to 4th December, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 18th September, 2019

(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner (Punjab)



S/o Sh. Kewal Krishan, Street Bhani Sahib, Ward No. 8, Dhanaula, Distt. Barnala -148105 (Punjab)

....Appellant

Public Information Officer,

(Regd. Post) O/o The Motor Vehicle Registration Department, Mohali(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1302 of 2019

V/s

Present : Sh. Shakti Bhushan, the appellant in person. Sh. Dharminder, Stenographer, on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 22.07 .2019 and the respondent PIO was directed to facilitate the appellant to inspect the relevant official record on 06.08.2019 at 11:00 A. M. so that the applicant could identify the required information. The respondent-PIO concerned was directed to supply the certified copies of the required information, which would be identified by the appellant after inspection, to him.

Sh. Dharminder, Stenographer, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, orally states that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Shakti Bhushan.

The appellant, Sh. Shakti Bhushan, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that incomplete information has been supplied to him.

On this, Sh. Dharminder, Stenographer, orally states that remaining information would be supplied to the appellant today itself positively.

The respondent PIO is directed to provide the remaining information to the appellant today itself and submit a copy of acknowledgement receipt signed by the appellant, on the next date of hearing.

he case is <u>adjourned to 4th December, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

> (Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner (Punjab)



PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120 Email: <u>psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u> <u>Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab</u>

Shakti Bhushan

S/o Sh. Kewal Krishan, Street Bhani Sahib, Ward No. 8, Dhanaula, Distt. Barnala -148105 (Punjab)

....Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Motor Vehicle Registration Department, Amritsar(Punjab)

V/s

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh

Appeal Case No. 1303 of 2019

Present : Sh. Shakti Bhushan, the appellant in person. Sh. Amandeep Singh, Stenographer, on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 22.07.2019 and another opportunity was

given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address and produce a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission on the next date of hearing. An opportunity was also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent .

Sh. Amandeep Singh, Stenographer , who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, orally states that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Shakti Bhushan in a CD Form.

The appellant, Sh. Shakti Bhushan, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that incomplete information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO so far.

Another opportunity is given to the respondent-PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant, as per queries raised by him through his RTI request, through registered post before the next date of hearing and submit a copy of the postal receipt, (through which information would be sent to the Appellant) on the next date of hearing.

Appeal Case No. 1303 of 2019

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information (which would be supplied by respondent PIO) or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

> The case is <u>adjourned to 4th December, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 18th September, 2019

(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner (Punjab)



S/o Sh. Kewal Krishan, Street Bhani Sahib, Ward No. 8, Dhanaula, Distt. Barnala -148105 (Punjab)

....Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Motor Vehicle Registration Department, Roopnagar (Punjab)

V/s

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh

Appeal Case No. 1296 of 2019

Present :

Sh. Shakti Bhushan, the appellant in person. Sh. Amandeep Singh, Stenographer, on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 22.07.2019 and another opportunity was

given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address and produce a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission on the next date of hearing. An opportunity was also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent .

Sh. Amandeep Singh, Stenographer , who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, orally states that in response to the RTI request, the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, Sh. Shakti Bhushan in a CD Form.

The appellant, Sh. Shakti Bhushan, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that incomplete information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO so far.

Another opportunity is given to the respondent-PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant, as per queries raised by him through his RTI request, through registered post before the next date of hearing and submit a copy of the postal receipt, (through which information would be sent to the Appellant) on the next date of hearing.

Appeal Case No. 1296 of 2019

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information (which would be supplied by respondent PIO) or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

> The case is <u>adjourned to 4th December, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

-2-

Date : 18th September, 2019

(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner (Punjab)



(Regd. Post)

S/o Sh. Kewal Krishan, Street Bhani Sahib, Ward No. 8, Dhanaula, Distt. Barnala -148105 (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Motor Vehicle Registration Department, Faridkot(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority, O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1304 of 2019

Present : Sh. Shakti Bhushan, the appellant in person. None on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 22.07.2019 and the respondent PIO concerned was directed to supply the certified copies of the required information, after collecting the same from the concerned office or company in whose custody the record of registrations are lying, to the appellant through registered post at his residential address and produce a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission. An opportunity was also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information or not. He was also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

The appellant, Sh. Shakti Bhushan, appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that incomplete information has been given to him till date.

Neither the respondent PIO nor any of his representative is present in today's hearing. He has also not sent any intimation regarding his non-availability. The Commission took a serious view of this casual approach of the respondent PIO.

In view of the above, a show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act is issued to Public Information Officer concerned of office of Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Appeal Case No. 1304 of 2019

The PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte. <u>A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post</u>.

-2-

The respondent PIO concerned is directed to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address before the next date of hearing and produce a copy of the postal receipt, through which information has been/would be sent to the appellant, before the Commission on the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information (which would be supplied by respondent PIO) or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

> The case is <u>adjourned to 4th December, 2019(Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 18th September, 2019

(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner (Punjab)



S/o Sh. Kewal Krishan, Street Bhani Sahib, Ward No. 8, Dhanaula, Distt. Barnala -148105 (Punjab)

....Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Motor Vehicle Registration Department, Jalandhar (Punjab)

V/s

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1298 of 2019

Present : Sh. Shakti Bhushan, the appellant in person. Ms. Nayan, Secretary, Regional Transport Authority , in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

This case was last heard on 22.07.2019 and another opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant through registered post at his residential address and produce a copy of the postal receipt in the Commission on the next date of hearing. An opportunity was also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent .

Ms. Nayan, Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, orally states that she has brought the requisite information in the Commission so that the same could be handed over to the appellant during the hearing in the Commission.

The appellant, Sh. Shakti Bhushan, who appeared in person in today's hearing, through a letter dated 18.09.2019, has acknowledged that he has received the requisite information and satisfied with the information supplied and asked for filing of this case.

It is taken on record.

After having consent of the appellant to dispose of the case and after going through the oral-submission submitted during the hearing in the Commission today by Ms. Nayan, it is found that the explanation given by her is genuine and hence show cause issued to her is <u>dropped.</u>

In view of all the above, the case is <u>disposed of and closed.</u> Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.